UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,101	08/02/2005	Konrad Tetenborg	P70652US0	2274	
	7590 12/12/2007 OLMAN PLLC		EXAMINER		
	400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			DESAI, HEMANT	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/544,101	TETENBORG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hemant M. Desai	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 No.	ovember 2007.						
·— ·—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.						
·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attach == aut(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					

10/544,101 Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/28/2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I claims 1-8 and 10-14 in the reply filed on 11/28/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims of Group I do have the special technical features of the Group II claims. This is not found persuasive because, claim 1 does not have the special technical features of "filling and sealing the open end of the bag" as claimed in claim 15. The patentability of claim 1, a process of manufacturing a bag, does not depend on the special technical feature of claim 15 which is "filling and sealing the open end of the bag".

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitao (6195964) in view of Watanabe et al. (5139346).

Kitao discloses process for manufacturing a bag from polymer film material, having four walls (7, 9, fig. 3a), cutting the web into four film segments (7,9) and sealing the connected film segments so as to form at least one sealed end of the bag (fig. 3b). Note that cutting the web into four segments is inherent part of Kitao's method of making the bag

Kitao, as mentioned above, disclose the bag with four walls with four seams except for the material required for forming the bags is fed in the form of a single film web that is wound up on a roll. Watanabe et al. disclose that it is known in the bag making art, wherein the bag is made of four walls to provide the material required for forming the bags is fed in the form of a single film web (23, fig. 2) that is wound up on a roll (24, fig. 2) to the unwinding station, cutting the web into four segments (9, fig. 7) to make the manufacturing simpler and to make it mechanize and thus the manufacturing of the flexible container (bag) more efficient (see col. 6, lines 56-62). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fed the material required for forming the bags in the process of Kitao for manufacturing the bag in the form of a single film web that is wound up on a roll, cut the web into four segments as taught by Watanabe et al. to make the manufacturing simpler and to make it mechanize and thus the manufacturing of the bag more efficient.

Regarding claim 2, Kitao discloses that the bag comprises side gussets (see fig.

10/544,101 Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 3, Kitao discloses that the bottom of the bag is formed using transverse sealing.

Regarding claim 4, Kitao discloses that the bottom of the bag is formed exclusively by a squeezing process and a transverse sealing process.

Regarding claim 5, Kitao discloses that the bottom formation of the bag is followed by a filling process of the bags.

Regarding claim 6, Kitao discloses that the top end of the bag is also sealed using transverse sealing (12, fig. 6b).

Regarding claim 7, Kitao discloses that the bag is formed and filled partly in a form, fill and seal machine.

Regarding claim 10, the modified process of manufacturing the bag of Kitao teaches that the film tube to produce a bag made of four walls that are fed parallel (see fig. 6 of Watanabe et al.) and defines the longitudinal axis of the formed film tube and join by heat weld with each other.

5. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitao (6195964) and Watanabe et al. (5139346) and further in view of British Patent (1057264).

The modified bag of Kitao, as explained above, meets all the limitations of claim 11, except for the tubular film is in the form of roll. However, British Patent ('264) discloses that it is known to provide tubular film in the form of roll (see fig. 1) for convenient storage, shipment and subsequent use (see page 2, lines 101-105). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number:

10/544,101 Art Unit: 3721

the invention was made to provide the tubular film of Wessling et al. in the form of roll as taught by British Patent ('264) for convenient storage, shipment and subsequent use.

Regarding claim 12, Kitao discloses that the two of the four side walls have side gussets.

Regarding claim 13, Kitao discloses that the front sides of the side-gusseted film tube lie over one another.

Regarding claim 14, Kitao discloses that the side gussets are staved toward the direction of the tube.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 11-14, alternatively, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by British Patent (1057264).

British Patent ('264) discloses a tubular film roll (see fig. 1) comprising a film tube that includes four side walls (front, back and two gussets).

The product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. See MPEP 2113. The product-by-process claims 11-14 results in no structure different from the British Patent ('264).

Regarding claim 12, British Patent discloses that the walls are gusseted (see page 2, lines 128-1300).

Regarding claim 13, British Patent discloses that discloses that the front sides of the side-gusseted film tube lie over one another.

Regarding claim 14, British Patent discloses that the side gussets are staved toward the direction of the tube.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10 and 11-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 11-14, regarding 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) rejection, note that the tubular film roll of British Patent as claimed in claim 11, discloses the film tube which has four walls and the film is being wound into roll form, which meets all the claimed limitations. "cutting form a single film web and joined to one another by four corresponding seams, each seams including an applied layer of extruded heated adhesive located on an edge of each of the side walls" are process steps and the product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

· Application/Control Number:

10/544,101 Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hemant M Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

/hd/